

Cabin Creek Biomass Facility Project

Draft Environmental Impact Report Volume I SCH# 2011122032



PREPARED FOR: Placer County Community Development Resource Agency Environmental Coordination Services 3091 County Center Drive, Suite 190 Auburn, CA 95603



Cabin Creek Biomass Facility Project

Draft Environmental Impact Report Volume I SCH# 2011122032

PREPARED FOR:

Placer County
Community Development Resource Agency
Environmental Coordination Services
3091 County Center Drive, Suite 190
Auburn, CA 95603
Maywan Krach, Community Development Technician
530.745.3132
cdraecs@placer.ca.gov

PREPARED BY:

Ascent Environmental, Inc.
P.O. Box 5022
128 Market Street, Suite 3E
Stateline, NV 89449-5022
Nanette Hansel, Project Manager
775.339.1420
nanette.hansel@ascentenvinc.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter Page

Volume I – Draft Environmental Impact Report

1.1 Type and Purpose of the Draft Environmental Impact Report 1-1 1.1.1 Type of Environmental Impact Report 1-1 1.1.2 Purpose of This Environmental Impact Report 1-1 1.2 Scope of the EIR and Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-2 1.2.1 Scope and Organization of this Environmental Impact Report 1-2 1.2.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-3 1.3 Definition of Baseline 1-4 1.4 Significance Criteria 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4	ACR	ONYMS A	AND ABBREVIATIONS	xi
1.1.1 Type of Environmental Impact Report 1-1 1.1.2 Purpose of This Environmental Impact Report 1-1 1.2 Scope of the EIR and Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-2 1.2.1 Scope and Organization of this Environmental Impact Report 1-2 1.2.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-3 1.3 Definition of Baseline 1-4 1.4 Significance Criteria 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project 2-2 2.1 No Project Alternative 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3	1	INTR	ODUCTION	1-1
1.1.2 Purpose of This Environmental Impact Report 1-1 1.2 Scope of the EIR and Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-2 1.2.1 Scope and Organization of this Environmental Impact Report 1-2 1.2.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-3 1.3 Definition of Baseline 1-4 1.4 Significance Criteria 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 A		1.1	Type and Purpose of the Draft Environmental Impact Report	1-1
1.2 Scope of the EIR and Effects Found Not to be Significant. 1-2 1.2.1 Scope and Organization of this Environmental Impact Report. 1-2 1.2.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant. 1-3 1.3 Definition of Baseline. 1-4 1.4 Significance Criteria. 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History. 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms. 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process. 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY. 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project. 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project. 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative. 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative. 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative. 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative. 2-3 2.2.4 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation. 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts. 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts. 2-4 <			1.1.1 Type of Environmental Impact Report	1-1
1.2.1 Scope and Organization of this Environmental Impact Report. 1.2 1.2.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant. 1-3 1.3 Definition of Baseline 1-4 1.4 Significance Criteria 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History. 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms. 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process. 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project. 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project. 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative. 2-2 2.2.2. Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3.1 Project Location. 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics. 3-1 3.3 Project Objectives. 3-5				
1.2.1 Scope and Organization of this Environmental Impact Report. 1.2 1.2.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant. 1-3 1.3 Definition of Baseline 1-4 1.4 Significance Criteria 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History. 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms. 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process. 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project. 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project. 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative. 2-2 2.2.2. Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3.1 Project Location. 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics. 3-1 3.3 Project Objectives. 3-5		1.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.2.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-3 1.3 Definition of Baseline 1-4 1.4 Significance Criteria 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7<				
1.4 Significance Criteria 1-4 1.5 Project Background and History. 1-4 1.6 Definition of Terms. 1-5 1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process. 1-6 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project. 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project. 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative. 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 Jaternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy. 2-4 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.3 Project Location 3-1 3.4 Poscription of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 <				
1.5 Project Background and History		1.3	Definition of Baseline	1-4
1.6 Definition of Terms		1.4	Significance Criteria	1-4
1.7 Project Review and CEQA Process		1.5	Project Background and History	1-4
2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2-1 2.1 Summary Description of the Proposed Project 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21		1.6	Definition of Terms	1-5
2.1 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project. 2-1 2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project. 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative. 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative. 2-3 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative. 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative. 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation. 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts. 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts. 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy. 2-4 3.1 Project Location. 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics. 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses. 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives. 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics. 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview. 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview. 3-1 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-1 3.4.5 Site Improvements. 3-18 </td <td></td> <td>1.7</td> <td>Project Review and CEQA Process</td> <td> 1-6</td>		1.7	Project Review and CEQA Process	1-6
2.2 Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project 2-2 2.2.1 No Project Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-10 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4	2	EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	2-1
2.2.1 No Project Alternative 2-2 2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments		2.1	Summary Description of the Proposed Project	2-1
2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative 2-2 2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals		2.2	Summary Description of Alternatives to the proposed project	2-2
2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative 2-3 2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.2.1 No Project Alternative</td> <td> 2-2</td>			2.2.1 No Project Alternative	2-2
2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 2-3 2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-19 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-21 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			2.2.2 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative	2-2
2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 2-3 2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			2.2.3 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative	2-3
2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts 2-3 2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			2.2.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative	2-3
2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 2-4 2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-18 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23		2.3	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation	2-3
2.4 Areas of Controversy 2-4 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-18 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			2.3.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts	2-3
3-1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 3-1 3.1 Project Location 3-1 3.2 Study Area Characteristics 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-18 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			2.3.2 Summary of Cumulative Impacts	2-4
3.1 Project Location		2.4	Areas of Controversy	2-4
3.2 Study Area Characteristics. 3-1 3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses. 3-5 3.3 Project Objectives. 3-5 3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics. 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview. 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview. 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply. 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations. 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements. 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements. 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities. 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments. 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR. 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals. 3-23	3	PROJ	ECT DESCRIPTION	3-1
3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses		3.1	Project Location	3-1
3.3 Project Objectives		3.2	Study Area Characteristics	3-1
3.4 Description of Proposed Project Characteristics 3-7 3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			3.2.1 Adjacent and Nearby Land Uses	3-5
3.4.1 Project Overview 3-7 3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23		3.3	Project Objectives	3-5
3.4.2 Technology Overview 3-10 3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23		3.4	Description of Proposed Project Characteristics	3-7
3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply 3-11 3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			3.4.1 Project Overview	3-7
3.4.4 Facility Operations 3-15 3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			3.4.2 Technology Overview	3-10
3.4.5 Site Improvements 3-18 3.4.6 Utility Improvements 3-19 3.4.7 Construction Activities 3-21 3.4.8 Environmental Commitments 3-22 3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 3-23 3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals 3-23			3.4.3 Woody Biomass Fuel Supply	3-11
3.4.6 Utility Improvements			3.4.4 Facility Operations	3-15
3.4.7 Construction Activities			3.4.5 Site Improvements	3-18
3.4.8 Environmental Commitments			3.4.6 Utility Improvements	3-19
3.5 Intended Uses of the EIR			3.4.7 Construction Activities	3-21
3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals			3.4.8 Environmental Commitments	3-22
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3.5	Intended Uses of the EIR	3-23
3.5.2 Other Agencies Using the EIR and Consultation Requirements			3.5.1 Required Permits and Approvals	3-23
			3.5.2 Other Agencies Using the EIR and Consultation Requirements	3-23

4	LAND	JSE AND	FOREST RESOURCES	4-1
	4.1	Enviror	nmental Setting	4-1
		4.1.1	Existing Land Uses	4-1
		4.1.2	Adjacent Land Uses	4-1
	4.2	Regula	tory Setting	4-3
		4.2.1	Federal	4-3
		4.2.2	State	4-4
		4.2.3	Local	4-4
	4.3	Impact	S	4-17
		4.3.1	Significance Criteria	4-17
		4.3.2	Methods and Assumptions	4-17
		4.3.3	Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	4-17
		4.3.4	Impact Analysis	4-18
	4.4	Mitigat	tion Measures	4-19
5	BIOLO	GICAL RI	ESOURCES	5-1
	5.1	Enviror	nmental Setting	5-1
		5.1.1	Local Setting	5-1
		5.1.2	Biological Communities	5-1
		5.1.3	Vegetation	5-3
		5.1.4	Wildlife	5-3
		5.1.5	Special-Status Species	5-3
		5.1.6	Sensitive Habitats	5-12
		5.1.7	Federal Recovery Plans and Critical Habitat	5-13
	5.2	Regula	tory Setting	5-13
		5.2.1	Federal	5-13
		5.2.2	State	5-15
		5.2.3	Local	5-16
	5.3	Impact	·S	5-17
		5.3.1	Significance Criteria	5-17
		5.3.2	Methods and Assumptions	5-17
		5.3.3	Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	5-18
		5.3.4	Impact Analysis	5-18
	5.4	Mitigat	tion Measures	5-21
6	CULTU	RAL RES	OURCES	6-1
	6.1	Enviror	nmental Setting	6-1
		6.1.1	Cultural Setting	6-1
		6.1.2	Paleontological Setting	6-3
		6.1.3	Important Cultural Resources	6-3
	6.2	Regula	tory Setting	
		6.2.1	Federal	
		6.2.2	State	6-4
		6.2.3	Local	
	6.3	Impact	S	6-6
		6.3.1	Significance Criteria	
		6.3.2	Methods and Assumptions	
		6.3.3	Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	6-7
		6.3.4	Impact Analysis	6-7
	6.4	Mitigat	tion Measures	6-9

7	VISU	AL RESOL	JRCES	7-1
	7.1	Enviro	nmental Setting	7-1
		7.1.1	Regional Landscape Character	7-1
		7.1.2	Local Study Area Landscape Character	7-5
		7.1.3	Light and Glare	7-5
		7.1.4	Existing Visual Quality	7-9
		7.1.5	Summary of Viewing Conditions	
		7.1.6	Key Observation Points	7-9
		7.1.7	Viewer Sensitivity	7-9
	7.2	Regula	atory Setting	7-11
		7.2.1	Federal	7-11
		7.2.2	State	7-11
		7.2.3	Local	7-11
	7.3	Impac	ts	7-12
		7.3.1	Significance Criteria	7-12
		7.3.2	Methods and Assumptions	7-12
		7.3.3	Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	7-12
		7.3.4	Impact Analysis	7-12
	7.4	Mitiga	ition Measures	7-17
8	TRAF	FIC AND	TRANSPORTATION	8-1
	8.1	_	nmental Setting	
		8.1.1	Roadway Setting	
		8.1.2	Existing Traffic Volumes	
		8.1.3	Existing Traffic Conditions	
		8.1.4	Existing Ground Transit Facilities	
		8.1.5	Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	
	8.2	Regula	atory Setting	
		8.2.1	Federal	
		8.2.2	State	8-8
		8.2.3	Local	
	8.3	Impac	ts	
		8.3.1	Significance Criteria	
		8.3.2	3	
		8.3.3	Issues or Potential Impacts not Discussed Further	
		8.3.4	Impact Analysis	
	8.4	Mitiga	ition Measures	
9	AIR Q	UALITY.		9-1
	9.1		nmental Setting	
		9.1.1	Climate, Meteorology, and Topography	9-1
		9.1.2	Criteria Air Pollutants	
		9.1.3	Monitoring Station Data and Attainment Area Designations	
		9.1.4	Emissions Inventory	9-5
		9.1.5	Toxic Air Contaminants	9-5
		9.1.6	Naturally Occurring Asbestos	9-6
		9.1.7	Odors	9-6
		918	Sensitive Land Uses	9-7

	9.2	Regulatory Setting	9-7
		9.2.1 Federal	9-7
		9.2.2 State	9-9
		9.2.3 Local	9-10
	9.3	Impacts	9-14
		9.3.1 Significance Criteria	9-14
		9.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	9-15
		9.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	9-17
		9.3.4 Impact Analysis	
	9.4	Mitigation Measures	9-24
10	GREE	NHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND CLIMATE CHANGE	10-1
	10.1	Environmental Setting	10-1
		10.1.1 Attributing Climate Change—The Physical Scientific Basis	10-2
		10.1.2 Attributing Climate Change—Greenhouse Gas Emission Sources	10-2
		10.1.3 Adaptation to Climate Change	10-3
	10.2	Regulatory Setting	10-5
		10.2.1 Federal	10-5
		10.2.2 State	10-7
		10.2.3 Local	10-9
	10.3	Impacts	10-10
		10.3.1 Significance Criteria	10-10
		10.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	10-11
		10.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	10-13
		10.3.4 Impact Analysis	10-13
	10.4	Mitigation Measures	10-17
11	NOISE	E	11-1
	11.1	Environmental Setting	11-1
		11.1.1 Acoustic Fundamentals	11-1
		11.1.2 Sensitive Land Uses	11-5
		11.1.3 Sources and Ambient Levels	11-5
	11.2	Regulatory Setting	11-8
		11.2.1 Federal	11-8
		11.2.2 State	11-8
		11.2.3 Local	11-9
	11.3	Impacts	11-12
		11.3.1 Significance Criteria	
		11.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	
		11.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	
		11.3.4 Impact Analysis	
	11 4	Mitigation Measures	11-19

12	GEOL	OGY, SEISMICITY, AND SOILS	12-1
	12.1	Environmental Setting	12-1
		12.1.1 Geology and Topography	12-1
		12.1.2 Seismicity and fault zones	
		12.1.3 Subsidence and Expansion	
		12.1.4 Slope Stability	
		12.1.5 Avalanche	
		12.1.6 Soils	
		12.1.7 Mineral Resources	
	12.2	Regulatory Setting	
		12.2.1 Federal	
		12.2.2 State	
		12.2.3 Local	
	12.3	Impacts	
	12.3	12.3.1 Significance Criteria	
		12.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	
		12.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	
		12.3.4 Impact Analysis	
	12.4	Mitigation Measures	
	12.7	With Bactori Wicasures	12 3
13	HYDR	OLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	13-1
	13.1	Environmental Setting	13-1
		13.1.1 Hydrology and Drainage	13-1
	13.2	Regulatory Setting	13-4
		13.2.1 Federal	13-4
		13.2.2 State	13-6
		13.2.3 Local	13-9
	13.3	Impacts	13-10
		13.3.1 Significance Criteria	13-10
		13.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	
		13.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	13-11
		13.3.4 Impact Analysis	13-11
	13.4	Mitigation Measures	13-14
14	POPU	LATION AND HOUSING	14-1
	14.1	Environmental Setting	14-1
		14.1.1 Regional Setting	14-1
		14.1.2 Local Setting	14-2
	14.2	Regulatory Setting	14-5
		14.2.1 Federal	14-5
		14.2.2 State	14-6
		14.2.3 Local	14-6
	14.3	Impacts	14-7
		14.3.1 Significance Criteria	
		14.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	
		14.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	
		14.3.4 Impact Analysis	
	1//	Mitigation Massures	1/1 0

15	PUBLIC	C SERVICES AND UTILITIES	15-1
	15.1	Environmental Setting	15-1
		15.1.1 Utilities	15-1
		15.1.2 Fire Protection, Law Enforcement, and Emergency Services	15-3
	15.2	Regulatory Setting	15-4
		15.2.1 Federal	15-4
		15.2.2 State	15-4
		15.2.3 Local	15-5
	15.3	Impacts	15-6
		15.3.1 Thresholds of Significance	15-6
		15.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	
		15.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	
		15.3.4 Impacts Analysis	
	15.4	Mitigation Measures	. 15-10
16	HAZAF	RDOUS MATERIALS AND HAZARDS	16-1
	16.1	Environmental Setting	16-1
		16.1.1 Land Uses and Conditions on the Eastern Regional MRF and Transfer Station Site	16-1
	16.2	Regulatory Setting	16-2
		16.2.1 Definitions of Terms	16-2
		16.2.2 Federal	16-3
		16.2.3 State	16-4
		16.2.4 Local	16-7
	16.3	Impacts	16-9
		16.3.1 Significance Criteria	16-9
		16.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	. 16-10
		16.3.3 Issues or Potential Impacts Not Discussed Further	. 16-10
		16.3.4 Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	. 16-11
	16.4	Mitigation Measures	. 16-14
17	ENVIR	ONMENTAL JUSTICE	17-1
	17.1	Regulatory Setting	17-1
	17.2	Environmental Setting	17-1
	17.3	Impacts	17-3
		17.3.1 Significance Criteria	17-3
		17.3.2 Methods and Assumptions	17-4
		17.3.3 Evaluation of Environmental Justice Impacts	17-4
	17.4	Mitigation Measures	17-4
18	OTHER	R CEQA SECTIONS	18-1
	18.1	Alternatives	18-1
		18.1.1 CEQA Requirements for Alternatives Analysis	18-1
		18.1.2 Factors Considered in Identifying Project Alternatives	18-2
		18.1.3 Summary of Project Impacts	18-2
	18.2	Project Alternatives Evaluated in this EIR	18-4
		18.2.1 Description of Alternatives	18-4
		18.2.2 No Project Alternative	18-4
		18.2.3 Direct Combustion Technology Alternative	18-7
		18.2.4 Alternative Site with Gasification Technology Alternative	. 18-17
	18.3	Summary of the Comparative Effects of the Alternatives	
	18.4	Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Evaluation	. 18-22

		18.4.1 Five MW Wood-to-Energy Biomass Plant at the Eastern Regional MRF and	
		Transfer Station Site	
		18.4.3 Use of Curtain Burners	
		18.4.4 Repower Existing Biomass Plants (Loyalton/Carson City)	
	18.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative	
	18.6	Significant Environmental Effects Which Cannot be Avoided if the Proposed Project is Implemented	18-26
	18.7	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes Which Would be Caused by the Proposed	
		Project Should it be Implemented	
	18.8	Growth-Inducing Impact of the Proposed Project	
		18.8.1 State CEQA Guidelines	
	18.9	Cumulative Impacts	
	10.5	18.9.1 Cumulative Impact Approach	
		18.9.2 Cumulative Setting	
19	Report	Preparers	19-1
20	Referer	nces	20-1
Exhib	its		
Exhibit	3-1	Regional Location	3-2
Exhibit	3-2	Project Site and Vicinity	3-3
Exhibit	3-3	Eastern Regional MRF and Transfer Station – Property and Facility Boundaries	3-4
Exhibit	3-4	Eastern Regional MRF and Transfer Station – Zoning Designations	3-6
Exhibit	3-5	Preliminary Layout	3-8
Exhibit	3-6	Gasification System Schematic	3-9
Exhibit	3-7	Core Fuel Supply Area (CFSA)	3-12
Exhibit	3-8	Existing and Proposed Well Locations	3-20
Exhibit	4-1	Existing Land Uses	4-2
Exhibit	4-2	Placer County Zoning	4-16
Exhibit	5-1	Vegetation and Land Cover in the Study Area	5-2
Exhibit	5-2	Representative Photographs of Habitat Types in the Project Vicinity	5-4
Exhibit	5-3	Special-Status Species Occurrences within 5 miles of the Project Site	5-5
Exhibit	7-1	Representative Photo Locations	7-2
Exhibit	7-2	Martis Valley View of Sierra Nevada Mountains	7-3
Exhibit	7-3	Truckee River Canyon near Cabin Creek Road	7-3
Exhibit	7-4	View of Northstar from Martis Valley	7-4
Exhibit	7-5a	View of Truckee from SR 267	7-4
Exhibit	7-5b	View of Truckee from Glenshire Drive	7-5

Exhibit 7-6	View to the north of Eastern Regional MRF from Cabin Creek Road with the project site on the right	7-6
Exhibit 7-7	Forested ridge west of Eastern Regional MRF Facility	7-6
Exhibit 7-8	View to the south from parking lot of existing residence on the project site on the right	7-7
Exhibit 7-9	View of entrance to Eastern Regional MRF to the north of the project site	7-7
Exhibit 7-10	View to northeast of parking lot north of the project site	7-8
Exhibit 7-11	View to the east from Cabin Creek Road across Truckee River Canyon	7-8
Exhibit 7-12	Viewpoint 1 Simulation	7-14
Exhibit 7-13	Viewpoint 2 Simulation	7-15
Exhibit 7-14	Viewpoint 3 Simulation	7-16
Exhibit 8-1	Project Roadway Segments and Intersections	8-2
Exhibit 8-2	Existing Lane Configurations, Traffic Control Types, and Turning Movement Volumes	8-3
Exhibit 8-3	Existing Ground Transit Facilities and Routes (Summer)	8-6
Exhibit 8-4	Existing Ground Transit Facilities and Routes (Winter)	8-7
Exhibit 8-5	Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	8-7
Exhibit 8-6	Project Trip Assignment (Summer)	8-15
Exhibit 9-1	Mountain Counties Air Basin Portion of Placer County 2008 Emissions Inventory	9-5
Exhibit 10-1	California's Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Economic Sector (2008 Average)	10-4
Exhibit 10-2	Increased Wildfire Risk under Cal-Adapt Low and High Emissions Scenarios	10-6
Exhibit 11-1	Ambient Noise Monitoring and Existing Noise -Sensitive Receptor Locations	11-6
Exhibit 11-2	Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Level Measurements	11-7
Exhibit 13-1	Project Site Hydrologic Features	13-2
Exhibit 17-1	Zip Code Tabulation Area 96161	17-2
Exhibit 18-1	Direct Combustion Schematic	18-8
Exhibit 18-2	Location and Layout of the Northern Site with Gasification Technology Alternative	18-18
Tables		
Table 2-1	Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	2-5
Table 3-1	Available Biomass Fuel by Type within the CFSA	3-12
Table 3-2	Hazardous Materials Storage Quantities for a Gasification System	3-17
Table 4-1	Placer County General Plan Goals and Policies Consistency Analysis	4-5
Table 5-1	Special-Status Plant Species with Potential to Occur in the Project Vicinity	5-6
Table 5-2	Special-Status Wildlife and Fish Species With Potential to Occur in the Project Vicinity	5-10
Table 8-1	Existing Intersection Turning Movement Volumes – Friday PM Peak Hour	8-1
Table 8-2	Historic Average Daily Traffic Volumes	8-4
Table 8-3	Intersection Level of Service Definitions	8-5

Table 8-4	Existing Intersection Level of Service Results	8-5
Table 8-5	Employee Trip Generation	8-11
Table 8-6	Delivery Estimate	8-12
Table 8-7	Summer Season Delivery Truck Trip Generation	8-13
Table 8-8	Proposed Project Trip Generation Summary	8-16
Table 8-9	Vehicle Miles of Travel	8-16
Table 8-10	Existing Plus Project Intersection Level of Service Results	8-18
Table 8-11	Existing Conditions Peak Hour Signal Warrant Criteria A SR 89/Cabin Creek Road	8-19
Table 9-1	Sources and Health Effects of Criteria Air Pollutants	9-2
Table 9-2	Summary of Annual Air Quality Data (2010–2011)	9-4
Table 9-3	Attainment Status Designations for the Placer County Portion of the Mountain Counties Air Basin	9-4
Table 9-4	Ambient Air Quality Standards and Designations	9-8
Table 9-5	Methodologies Used to Estimate Project-Related Operational Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors	9-16
Table 9-6	Summary of Modeled Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors Associated with Project Construction Activities	9-17
Table 9-7	Summary of Operational Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors	9-19
Table 9-8	Avoided Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors Associated with Open Burning (tons/year)	9-21
Table 10-1	California Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Projections	10-4
Table 10-2	Methodologies Used to Estimate Project-Related Emissions	. 10-12
Table 10-3	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions of the Proposed Biomass Gasification Power Plant1	10-14
Table 11-1	Typical Noise Levels	11-1
Table 11-2	Human Response to Different Levels of Ground Noise and Vibration	11-4
Table 11-3	Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Level Measurements	11-7
Table 11-4	Summary of Modeled Existing Traffic Noise Levels	11-8
Table 11-5	Noise Compatibility Guidelines	11-9
Table 11-6	Placer County Allowable Ldn Noise Levels Within Specified Zone Districts Applicable to New Projects Affected by or Including Non-Transportation Noise Sources	11-10
Table 11-7	Placer County Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure Transportation Noise Sources	. 11-11
Table 11-8	Placer County Noise Ordinance Noise Level Standards for Sensitive Receptors	. 11-12
Table 11-9	Noise Emission Levels from Construction Equipment	. 11-15
Table 11-10	Representative Ground Vibration and Noise Levels for Construction Equipment	. 11-16
Table 11-11	Summary of Modeled Traffic Noise Levels under Existing No Project and Existing Plus Project Conditions	. 11-19
Table 14-1	Regional Population, Race and Ethnicity	14-1
Table 14-2	Regional Housing Occupancy	
Table 14-3	Regional Economic Indicators	14-2

Table 14-4	Population Density in the Project Vicinity	14-2
Table 14-5	Population, Ethnicity, and Race in the Project Vicinity	14-4
Table 14-6	Household and Income Characteristics in the Project Vicinity	14-4
Table 14-7	Unemployment Rate in the Project Vicinity	14-5
Table 14-8	Housing Occupancy in Project Vicinity	14-5
Table 17-1	Population, Ethnicity, and Race in the Area of Project Effect	17-3
Table 17-2	Percent Persons Below Poverty Level in the Area of Project Effect (2006-2010)	17-3
Table 18-1	Average Daily Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors Generated by Open Burning of Forest-Sourced Biomass vs. Biomass Plant Operations (lbs/day)	18-6
Table 18-2	Hazardous Materials Storage Quantities for a Direct Combustion	18-9
Table 18-3	Summary of Operational Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors under the Direct Combustion Technology Alternative	18-13
Table 18-4	Annual GHG Emissions of the Direct Combustion Technology Alternative	18-14
Table 18-5	Comparison of Environmental Impacts of Alternatives in Relation to the Project	18-22
Table 18-6	Geographic Scope of Cumulative Impacts	18-29
Table 18-7	Cumulative Project List	18-29
Table 18-8	Cumulative Conditions Project Trip Generation	18-36
Table 18-9	Cumulative Intersection Turning Movement Volumes – Friday PM Peak Hour	18-36
Table 18-10	Cumulative Intersection Level of Service Results	18-37
Table 18-11	Cumulative Plus Project Intersection Level of Service Results	18-37
Table 18-12	Cumulative Conditions Peak Hour Signal Warrant Criteria A SR 89/Cabin Creek Road	18-37

Volume II - Draft Environmental Impact Report Appendices (CD on back cover)

- A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
- B Notice of Preparation
- C Traffic and Transportation
- D Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Modeling and Calculations
- E Noise Modeling and Calculations
- F Health Risk Assessment

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°F Fahrenheit

μg/m³ micrograms per cubic meter

AB Assembly Bill

ADT annual daily traffic

AEP Annual Exceedence Probability

afy acre-feet per year

APCO Air Pollution Control Officer

APN Assessor's Parcel Numbers

APS Alternative Planning Strategy

ARB California Air Resources Board

ASCWD Alpine Springs County Water District

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

B.P. before present

Basin Plan Water Quality Control Plan for the Lahontan Region

BAT best available technology economically and achievable

BCT best conventional pollutant control technology

BDT bone dry tons

BMPs best management practices

BTU British Thermal Units

CAA Clean Air Act

CAAQS California ambient air quality standards

CAFE Corporate Average Fuel Economy

CAL FIRE California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

Cal/EPA California EPA

Cal/OSHA California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Occupational Safety and

Health Administration

CalARPP California Accidental Release Prevention Program

California Emissions Estimator Model
Calpeco California Pacific Electrical Company

Placer County

CalRecycle California Department of Resources Recovery and Recycling

Caltrans California Department of Transportation

CBC California Building Code
CCAA California Clean Air Act

CCAR California Climate Action Registry
CCR California Code of Regulations
CEC California Energy Commission

CEQA California Environmental Quality Act

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

CERCLIS Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information

System

CESA California Endangered Species Act

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CFSA core fuels supply area

CH₄ methane

CHP California Highway Patrol

CHRIS California Historical Resources Information System

CHWMP County's Hazardous Waste Management Plan
CIWMA California Integrated Waste Management Act

CNDDB California Natural Diversity Database

CNG compressed natural gas

CNPS California Native Plant Society

CNRA California Natural Resources Agency

 ${\sf CO}$ carbon monoxide ${\sf CO}_2$ carbon dioxide ${\sf CO}_2{\sf eq}$ ${\sf CO}_2$ equivalent

CPUC California Public Utilities Commission

CSP California State Parks

CTC California Tahoe Conservancy

CTR California Toxics Rule
CUP Conditional Use Permit

CUPA Certified Unified Program Agency

CWA Clean Water Act

Ascent Environmental Acronyms and Abbreviations

dbh diameter at breast height

DFG California Department of Fish and Game

DHS California Department of Health Services

DOE U.S. Department of Energy

DOT U.S. Department of Transportation

DPW Department of Public Works

Draft EIR Draft Environmental Impact Report
DRC Development Review Committee

DTSC California Department of Toxic Substances Control

DWR California Department of Water Resources

EA environmental assessment

EDCAPCD El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District

EIS environmental impact statement

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERSL Eastern Regional Sanitary Landfill, Inc.

ESA Federal Endangered Species Act

ESD Engineering and Surveying Department

FCR Flexible Congestion Relief

FED Functional Equivalent Document

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FIFRA Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act

FIRMs flood insurance rate maps

FONSI finding of no significant impact

FOR forestry

FOR-SP forestry-special purpose

FTEE full-time equivalent employees

GAMA Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment

GHG Greenhouse Gas Emissions

GMWC Glenshire Mutual Water Company

gpd gallons per day gpm gallons per minute GW-hr/year gigawatt-hours per year GWP global warming potential

H₂ hydrogen

HAPs hazardous air pollutants
HCM Highway Capacity Manual

HEPA High Efficiency Particulate Air

HFCs hydrofluorocarbons
HHV Higher Heating Value

HMGP Hazard Mitigation Grant Programs

HMPC Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee

HR hydrologic region

HRA Health Risk Assessment

HVAC heating, ventilation, and air conditioning

I-80 Interstate 80

IBC International Building Code

IC internal combustion

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

JPA Joint Powers Authority

km kilometer kV kilovolt kW kilowatt

lb CO₂/MW-hr pounds of CO₂ per Megawatt-hour

lb/day pounds per day

LCFS Low-Carbon Fuel Standard

LDM Land Development Manual

LHMP Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

LOS level of service

LTBMU Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit

LTR&TCo. Lake Tahoe Railway and Transportation Company

Ascent Environmental Acronyms and Abbreviations

m meters

MACT maximum available control technology for toxics

MBTA Migratory Bird Treaty Act

MCAB Mountain Counties Air Basin

MCLs maximum contaminant levels

mgd million gallon per day

MMT million metric tons

MMT CO₂e/year million metric tons per year

MPOs Metropolitan Planning Organizations

MRF Materials Recovery Facility

MSA Master Stewardship Agreement

msl mean sea level MT metric tons

MT CO₂e/MW-hr MT CO₂e per megawatt-hour

MUTCD Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

MW megawatt

N₂O nitrous oxide

NAAQS national ambient air quality standards

NAHC Native American Heritage Commission

NCIC North-Central Information Center

NEHRP National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NESHAP national emissions standards for HAPs

NFIP National Flood Insurance Program

NFMA National Forest Management Act

NFS U.S. National Forest Service

NHPA National Historic Preservation Act of 1966

NHTSA National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

NO nitric oxide

NO₂ nitrogen dioxide

NOP notice of preparation

NO_X nitrogen oxides

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NRCS Natural Resource Conservation Service

NRHP National Register of Historic Places

NSAQMD Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District

NTFPD North Tahoe Fire Protection District

NTPUD North Tahoe Public Utility District

NTR National Toxics Rule

OES Office of Emergency Services

OPR California Office of Planning and Research

OSFM Office of the State Fire Marshal

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

ozone photochemical smog

PAHA potential avalanche hazard areas

PCAPCD Placer County Air Pollution Control District

PCBs polychlorinated biphenyl

PCCP Placer County Conservation Plan

PCWA Placer County Water Agency

PDM Pre-Disaster Mitigation

PFCs perfluorocarbons

Pga peak ground acceleration

PM₁₀ respirable particulate matter

PM_{2.5} fine particulate matter

ppb parts per billion ppm parts per million

PPP Public-Private Partnership
PRC Public Resources Code

proposed project proposed Cabin Creek Biomass Facility Project
PRPA Paleontological Resources Preservation Act

PSD prevention of significant deterioration

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

ROG reactive organic compounds

RPFs Registered Professional Foresters

RTP Regional Transportation Plan

RWQCB Regional Water Quality Control Board

Ascent Environmental Acronyms and Abbreviations

SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

SB Senate Bill

SCS Sustainable Communities Strategy

SEIS Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

SF₆ sulfur hexafluoride

SHPO State Historic Preservation Office

SIP State implementation plan

 SO_2 sulfur dioxide SP special purpose SR State Route

SR 89

SVPSD Squaw Valley Public Service District

State Route 89

SWFP Solid Waste Facility Permit

SWMM Storm Water Management Manual

SWPPP Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

TACs toxic air contaminants

TART Tahoe Area Regional Transit

TCP Timberland Conversion Permit

TCPUD Tahoe City Public Utility District

TCR Transportation Concept Reports

TFPD Truckee Fire Protection District

THP Timber Harvest Plan

TLCo. Truckee Lumber Company
TMDL total maximum daily load

TNF Tahoe National Forest

TPY tons per year

TSD Truckee Sanitary District

T-TSA Tahoe-Truckee Sanitation Agency

TTSD Tahoe Truckee Sierra Disposal

UC Davis

UCMP

UC Museum of Paleontology

UFC

California Uniform Fire Code

UFC Uniform Fire Code

USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

USFS U.S. Forest Service

USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS U.S. Geological Survey

USPS U.S. Postal Service

VMT vehicle miles of travel

VOCs volatile organic compounds

WDID Waste Discharge Identification

ZCTA Zip Code Tabulation Area

1 INTRODUCTION

This Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) has been prepared to evaluate the environmental impacts associated with implementation of the proposed Cabin Creek Biomass Facility Project (proposed project). The proposed project includes the construction of a two-megawatt (MW) wood-to-energy biomass facility at the Eastern Regional Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) and Transfer Station in the unincorporated portion of Placer County, California. The Eastern Regional MRF and Transfer Station consists of 290 acres. The proposed project would be located on a 3.7-acre site in the southernmost area of the property approximately two miles south of Interstate 80 (I-80).

1.1 TYPE AND PURPOSE OF THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

1.1.1 TYPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

In accordance with Section 15161 of the State CEQA Guidelines, this document is a project EIR that examines the environmental impacts of a specific proposed project. A project EIR is an informational document designed to provide the basis for the local planning and decision-making process. A project EIR is the most common type of EIR, examining the environmental impacts of a specific development. This type of EIR focuses on the changes in the environment that would result from the development project. In accordance with the State CEQA Guidelines, a project EIR must examine the environmental effects of all phases of the project, including construction and operation.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is contributing federal grant funding for the proposed project, and as such the project is also subject to environmental review in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). This EIR has been prepared to satisfy the substantive environmental review requirements of an environmental assessment (EA) pursuant to NEPA. If DOE determines that an environmental impact statement (EIS) is required for NEPA purposes, separate and subsequent noticing and scoping will be conducted pursuant to NEPA. If DOE determines that the project, as mitigated in this EIR, would not have significant effects on the environment, DOE may adopt a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) for the project incorporating information contained in this EIR. Notwithstanding DOE's involvement, this environmental document is referred to as an EIR.

1.1.2 PURPOSE OF THIS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

A state or local public agency must comply with CEQA when it undertakes an activity that may cause a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect change in the environment. The County has prepared this EIR to meet the requirements of CEQA. An EIR is an informational document used to inform agency decision makers and the general public of any significant environmental effects of a project, identify feasible ways to mitigate the significant effects, and describe reasonable alternatives to the project that can reduce environmental impacts.

The purpose of an EIR is not to recommend either approval or denial of a project. CEQA requires decision-makers to balance the benefits of a project against its unavoidable environmental effects in deciding whether to carry out a project. The lead agency will consider the Draft EIR, comments received on the Draft EIR, and responses to those comments before making a decision. If significant environmental effects are identified, the lead agency must adopt "Findings" indicating whether feasible mitigation measures or alternatives exist that can avoid or reduce those effects. If the significant environmental impacts are identified as significant and unavoidable, the lead agency may still approve the project if it determines that the social, economic, or other benefits outweigh the unavoidable impacts. The lead agency would then be required to prepare a "Statement of

Overriding Considerations" that discusses the specific reasons for approving the project, based on information in the EIR and other information in the record.

1.2 SCOPE OF THE EIR AND EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT

1.2.1 SCOPE AND ORGANIZATION OF THIS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Pursuant to CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines, a lead agency shall focus an EIR's discussion on significant environmental effects and may limit discussion on other effects to brief explanations about why they are not significant (PRC Section 21002.1, State CEQA Guidelines Section 15143). Furthermore, indication of the manner in which significant impacts can be feasibly mitigated or avoided is included among the purposes of an EIR. A determination of which impacts would be potentially significant was made for this project based on review of the information presented in the Notice of Preparation (NOP) prepared for the project, comments received as part of the public review process for the project, and additional research and analysis of relevant project data by environmental professionals.

SCOPE OF THIS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

This EIR presents an analysis of a range of environmental impact topics associated with implementation of the proposed project. The County has determined that the proposed project has the potential to result in environmental impacts on the following resources, which are addressed in detail in this EIR:

- ▲ Land Use
- ▲ Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- ▲ Transportation and Circulation
- ▲ Air Quality
- Noise
- Soils, Geology, and Seismicity
- ▲ Hydrology and Water Quality
- Population, Employment, and Housing
- Public Services and Utilities
- ▲ Hazardous Materials and Hazards
- ▲ Environmental Justice (this topic is addressed in order to satisfy the environmental review requirements of an EA pursuant to NEPA)

Ascent Environmental Introduction

ORGANIZATION OF THIS DOCUMENT

This Draft EIR is organized into chapters, as identified and briefly described below.

Chapter 1, Introduction. Chapter 1 describes the purpose and organization of the Draft EIR, context, and terminology used in the Draft EIR.

Chapter 2, Executive Summary. This chapter summarizes the project description, alternatives to the project, significant environmental impacts that would result from the project, and mitigation measures proposed to reduce or eliminate those impacts.

Chapter 3, Project Description. Chapter 3 describes the project location, background, project characteristics, and project objectives.

Chapter 4 through 17, Environmental Resource Chapters. For each environmental issue area, the chapter describes the existing environmental setting, discusses the environmental impacts associated with the proposed project, and identifies mitigation for significant impacts.

Chapter 18, Other CEQA Sections. This chapter describes the alternatives to the project that are being considered to mitigate the project's environmental impacts while meeting most of the project's objectives. This chapter describes the significant environmental effects which cannot be avoided and the significant irreversible environmental changes of the project. This chapter also evaluates the extent to which the project would contribute to cumulative impacts in the region or induce economic or population growth in the County.

Chapter 19, Report Preparation. This chapter identifies the Draft EIR authors and consultants who provided analysis in support of the Draft EIR's conclusions.

Chapter 20, References and Persons Consulted. This chapter sets forth a comprehensive listing of all sources of information used in the preparation of the Draft EIR, including agencies or individuals consulted during preparation of the Draft EIR.

Appendices. Appendices contain various technical reports, letters, and official publications that have been summarized or otherwise used for preparation of the Draft EIR. The project's Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program is included in Appendix A.

1.2.2 EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT

Under the CEQA statutes and the State CEQA Guidelines, a lead agency may limit an EIR's discussion of environmental effects when they are not considered potentially significant (Public Resources Code Section 21002.1(e); State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15128 and 15143). Information used to determine which impacts would be potentially significant was derived from a review of applicable planning and CEQA documentation, field work, a review of the project, feedback from ongoing public and agency consultation, and comments received on the NOP (Appendix B). Following the issuance of the NOP, comments were received and reviewed to determine the final scope of the Draft EIR. As a result of the review of existing information and the scoping process, effects on the following resources were found not to be significant, and therefore, are not included in the detailed analysis of potential project impacts:

AGRICULTURE

The project site is completely surrounded by U.S. National Forest Service (NFS) and as such is not a mapped area within the Placer County Important Farmland Map. No farmland resources are located onsite and the site is not

Introduction Ascent Environmental

under a Williamson Act Contract. The project site is located primarily within the developed footprint of the Easter Regional MRF and would not adversely affect any agricultural resources.

ENERGY

Project implementation would increase energy demand during both construction and operation of the proposed project. The primary energy demands during construction would be associated with construction vehicle fueling and the electrical demands associated with equipment operations. During operations, the primary energy demands would be associated with the use of natural gas during facility start-up and shut-down operations and the electrical demands of site equipment and facility lighting. However, the facility would be designed to efficiently convert woody biomass into electricity. As a net energy producer, the facility would not be expected to cause the inefficient, wasteful or unnecessary consumption of energy.

MINERAL RESOURCES

While many areas of Placer County contain significant mineral resource deposits and have been mined since the Gold Rush era, no significant mineral resource deposits have been identified on or in the area of the project site (Placer County 1994, pp. 9-34 to 9-37). Therefore, project implementation would not be expected to have any adverse effects on mineral resources.

RECREATION

The proposed project is an industrial use that would employ up to 13 full-time employees. Some of these employees would be expected to be hired from the local population. Therefore, the project is not anticipated to attract a substantial number of new residents to the area, would not be expected to increase the demands on existing recreational resources within the County, and would not require the construction or expansion of other recreational facilities.

1.3 DEFINITION OF BASELINE

According to Section 15125 of the State CEQA Guidelines, baseline conditions are normally defined as the physical environmental conditions in the vicinity of the project as they exist at the time that the notice of preparation (NOP) is published. Therefore, for the purposes of this document the baseline conditions are defined as the conditions that existed in the project vicinity as of December 2011. This baseline condition was used as the basis for determining the level of significance of impacts of the proposed project.

1.4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

Placer County's CEQA checklist and the environmental checklist in Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines were the primary sources of environmental questions considered in developing significance criteria for this EIR. Significance criteria for each resource area are listed under the impacts heading in each chapter (Chapters 4.0 through 17.0).

1.5 PROJECT BACKGROUND AND HISTORY

The proposed project is an element of the broader Placer County Biomass Program, which is a program to oversee and coordinate Placer County's efforts to: (1) determine the feasibility of removing woody biomass from forest lands in the County; and (2) examine the options for using excess biomass to generate economically-sustainable forms of energy or other beneficial products. Because of the excess accumulation of woody biomass throughout Placer County, the program identifies the need to reduce fire danger, reduce air pollution from open

Ascent Environmental Introduction

burning of harvested woody biomass, create green energy, and create future economic growth for the County. The Placer County Board of Supervisors created a Biomass Policy Team and adopted a Strategic Plan in 2007 for Wildfire Protection and Biomass Utilization.

Elements of the Biomass Program are being implemented through federal funding and grants administered by the USFS, Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD), the Sierra Nevada Conservancy, and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The overall Placer County Biomass Program involves coordination with the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), the California Tahoe Conservancy (CTC), California State Parks (CSP), the North Tahoe Fire Protection District (NTFPD), and the California Energy Commission (CEC). As part of the Biomass Program, woody biomass material managed by Placer County is already being processed and transported to existing biomass facilities and for use in ski slope stabilization.

The proposed facility in eastern Placer County would provide a new source of renewable electrical energy from waste biomass materials, provide a demonstration model of sustainable forest waste collection and processing, and assist in continued forest treatment activities.

1.6 DEFINITION OF TERMS

To assist in the understanding of this report, the following descriptions, as found in Article 20 of the State CEQA Guidelines, are provided:

- "Project" means the whole of an action, which has the potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment directly or ultimately.
- "Significant effect on the environment" means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historic or aesthetic significance. An economic or social change by itself shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. A social or economic change related to a physical change may be considered in determining whether the physical change is significant.
- "Environment" means the physical conditions that exist within the area which will be affected by a proposed project including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historical or aesthetic significance. The area involved shall be the area in which significant effects would occur either directly or indirectly as a result of the project. The "environment" includes both natural and man-made conditions.
- "Effects" and "impacts" as used in this document are synonymous. Effects analyzed under CEQA must be related to a physical change. Effects include:
 - direct or primary effects that are caused by the project and occur at the same time and place, and
 - ✓ indirect or secondary effects that are caused by the project and are later in time or farther removed in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable. Indirect or secondary effects may include growth-inducing effects and other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use, population density, or growth rate, and related effects on air and water and other natural systems, including ecosystems.
- "Mitigation" includes:
 - avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
 - minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation;
 - rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the impacted environment;
 - reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action; or
 - compensating for the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments.

Introduction Ascent Environmental

"Cumulative impacts" refers to two or more individual effects that, when considered together, are considerable or which compound or increase other environmental impacts:

- The individual effects may be changes resulting from a single project or a number of separate projects.
- ▼ The cumulative impact from several projects is the change in the environment that results from the incremental impact of the project when added to other closely related past, present, and reasonably foreseeable probable future projects. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant projects taking place over a period of time.

This Draft EIR uses a variety of terms to describe the level of significance of adverse impacts identified during the course of the environmental analysis. These terms are defined below.

- ▲ A "less-than-significant impact" is an impact that is adverse but that does not exceed the defined standards of significance. Less-than-significant impacts do not require mitigation.
- ▲ A "potentially significant impact" is an impact for which there is not enough information to make a finding of less-than-significant impact; however, for the purpose of this Draft EIR, the impact is considered significant. A potentially significant impact is equivalent to a significant impact and requires the identification of feasible mitigation measures or alternatives.
- ▲ A "significant impact" is an impact that exceeds the defined standards of significance and would or could cause a substantial adverse change in the environment. Mitigation measures are recommended to eliminate the impact or reduce it to a less-than-significant level.
- ▲ A "significant and unavoidable impact" is an impact that exceeds the defined standards of significance and that cannot be eliminated or reduced to a less-than-significant level through the implementation of mitigation measures.

1.7 PROJECT REVIEW AND CEQA PROCESS

This EIR will be used by the County and other agencies to fulfill the requirements of CEQA. It will also be used as an informational document by other federal, state, and local agencies that may have a direct interest in the proposed project. The County has the principal responsibility for approving and carrying out the project and for ensuring that the requirements of CEQA have been met; therefore, it is the lead agency under CEQA. The County is also the agency with the first discretionary action of the proposed project and is the primary recipient of funding for the project.

A CEQA responsible agency is a public agency that proposes to carry out or approve a project, for which a lead agency is preparing or has prepared an EIR or Negative Declaration. Responsible agencies include all public agencies other than the lead agency which have discretionary approval power over the project (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15381). State responsible agencies that may issue permits on or review the proposed project are the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, CAL FIRE, and California Department of Fish and Game.

CEQA defines certain trustee agencies as those that have state-mandated responsibilities for natural resources that are held in trust for the people of California (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15386). In addition to its role as a responsible agency for streambed alteration agreements, the California Department of Fish and Game is a trustee agency that has jurisdiction over natural resources in the state that could be affected by the project, including the state's fish and wildlife resources and designated rare or endangered native plants.

Federal agencies that may issue permits on the proposed project or review the proposed project are the U.S. Forest Service and the U.S. Department of Energy.

Consistent with the requirements of CEQA, a good faith effort has been made during the preparation of this Draft EIR to contact affected agencies, organizations, and individuals who may have an interest in the project.

Ascent Environmental Introduction

This effort included the circulation of an NOP to a project-specific mailing list and to the Governor's Office of Planning and Research for a 30-day comment period that commenced on December 8, 2011 and ended on January 23, 2012. The NOP is a brief notice sent by the lead agency to notify responsible agencies, trustee agencies, and potentially affected federal, state, and local agencies that the lead agency plans to prepare a Draft EIR and solicits guidance regarding the scope and content of the Draft EIR. Placer County also held a scoping meeting on January 11, 2012 to receive comments on the NOP. The comments received on the NOP are included in Appendix B.

This Draft EIR is being circulated to federal, State, and local agencies, and to interested organizations and individuals who may wish to review and comment on the report. During the 45-day public review period, written comments will be received by the County at the following address:

Placer County
Community Development Resource Agency
Environmental Coordination Services
3091 County Center Drive, Suite 190
Auburn, CA 95603

Contact: Maywan Krach

Community Development Technician Phone: (530) 745-3132/Fax: (530) 745-3003

Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to <cdraecs@placer.ca.gov>. If comments are provided via e-mail, please include the project title in the subject line, attach comments in Microsoft Word format, and include the commenter's U.S. Postal Service (USPS) mailing address.

Paper copies of the document are also available for review at the County offices (Community Development and Redevelopment Agency in Auburn, CA), Tahoe City Library, Placer County Department of Facility Services Office, and Placer County Clerk-Recorder's Office at the following addresses:

Community Development and Redevelopment Agency 3091 County Center Drive, Suite 190 Auburn, CA 95603

Placer County Clerk-Recorder's Office 2954 Richardson Drive Auburn. CA 95603

Tahoe City Branch Library 740 North Lake Boulevard Tahoe City, CA, 96146

Placer County Department of Facility Services Office 2855 2nd Street Auburn, CA 95603

Electronic copies of the EIR can be downloaded from the County's website at: http://www.placer.ca.gov/CommunityDevelopment/EnvCoordSvcs/EnvDocs.aspx

Introduction Ascent Environmental

This page intentionally blank.